

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Poisson relations between minors and their consequences

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1994 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 27 L507 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/27/13/008)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.68 The article was downloaded on 01/06/2010 at 22:29

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Poisson relations between minors and their consequences

B A Kupershmidt

The University of Tennessee Space Institute, Tullahoma, TN 37388, USA

Received 23 February 1994

Abstract. A multiplicative Poisson bracket on GL(N) can be restricted onto SL(N) iff the determinant det is central on GL(N). To decide whether the det is central, a simple criterion is derived in the *r*-matrix language with the help of a general formula for Poisson brackets between determinants of arbitrary minors.

Quantum group structures on SL(N) always appear through the following device: one starts with a quantum group structure on GL(N), constructs the corresponding quantum determinant, shows that it is central, and then equates this determinant to 1. It is quite likely that all quantum group structures on SL(N) can be obtained in this way, but this is very difficult to prove. In the quasiclassical limit, the corresponding conjecture is that every multiplicative Poisson bracket on SL(N) comes from a multiplicative Poisson bracket on GL(N) with a central determinant. This is undoubtedly true and is, probably, technically feasible to prove by the currently available machinery. (For N = 2, both the quantum and the quasiclassical claims are proven in (Kupershmidt 1994).) In practice, since the group GL(N) is much more convenient to work with than the group SL(N), one starts with a multiplicative Poisson bracket on GL(N) and then, if the determinant happens to be central, passes on to SL(N) by setting det = 1.

But what is an efficient way to decide whether the det is central? Let $M = (M_i^{\alpha})$ be the typical matrix of coordinate functions on GL(N). Let

$$\{M_i^{\alpha}, M_j^{\beta}\} = r_{ij}^{\varphi\psi} M_{\varphi}^{\alpha} M_{\psi}^{\beta} - r_{\varphi\psi}^{\alpha\beta} M_i^{\varphi} M_j^{\psi}$$
(1)

be the general multiplicative (pre) Poisson bracket on GL(N) (and Mat(N)); summation is always implied over non-fixed repeated indices. We do *not* assume in what follows (unless specified otherwise) that the Jacobi identities for the Poisson bracket (1) are satisfied; thus, the only property required of the structure constants $r_{ii}^{\varphi\psi}$ is the skew-symmetry:

$$r_{ij}^{\varphi\psi} = -r_{ji}^{\psi\varphi}.$$
(2)

Let $U = tr_L(r) = -tr_R(r)$ be the following matrix in Mat(N):

$$U_i^j = r_{\varphi i}^{\varphi j} = -r_{i\varphi}^{j\varphi}.$$
(3)

The determinantal criterion alluded to above is:

$$\{\det \text{ is central}\} \Leftrightarrow \{U=0\}.$$
(4)

0305-4470/94/130507+07\$19.50 © 1994 IOP Publishing Ltd

L507

This follows from the formula

$$\{\det(M), M\} = [U, M] \det(M) \tag{5}$$

meaning, locally, that

$$\{\det(M), M_a^b\} = [U, M]_a^b \det(M).$$
(6)

Indeed, from formula (5) we see that det is central iff U is a scalar matrix. But formulae (2), (3) imply that

$$tr U = 0 \tag{7}$$

and the criterion (4) follows.

Formula (6) results from the following general determinantal identity. For a pair of multi-indices $I = (i_1, i_2, ..., i_p)$, $J = (j_1, j_2, ..., j_p)$ with $1 \leq i_1, i_2, ..., j_1, j_2, ... \leq N$, set

$$D_I^J = \det(\mathcal{M}) \qquad \mathcal{M}_{\varphi}^{\psi} = M_{i_{\varphi}}^{j_{\psi}}.$$
(8)

Then

$$\{D_{I}^{J}, D_{A}^{B}\} = r_{i_{k}a_{l}}^{\varphi\psi} D_{I+(\varphi \div i_{k})}^{J} D_{A+(\psi \div a_{l})}^{B} - r_{\varphi\psi}^{j_{k}b_{l}} D_{I}^{J+(\varphi \div j_{k})} D_{A}^{B+(\psi \div b_{l})};$$
(9)

here $I + (\varphi \div i_k)$ is the multi-index I whose kth entry i_k is replaced by φ , and similar for the other multi-indices. In particular, when the multi-indices A and B are simple indices: A = (a) and B = (b), formula (9) becomes:

$$\{D_{I}^{J}, M_{a}^{b}\} = r_{i_{k}a}^{\varphi\psi} D_{I+(\varphi \div i_{k})}^{J} M_{\psi}^{b} - r_{\varphi\psi}^{j_{k}b} D_{I}^{J+(\varphi \div j_{k})} M_{a}^{\psi}.$$
 (10)

When I = J = (1, 2, ..., N), formula (10) yields

$$\{\det(M), M_a^b\} = \det(M)(r_{ka}^{k\psi}M_{\psi}^b - r_{k\psi}^{kb}M_a^{\psi}) = \det(M)[U, M]_a^b$$

which is formula (6).

Proof of formula (9). Let us first prove formula (10). We use induction on the length |I| of the multi-index *I*. For |I| = 1 formula (10) is just the defining formula (1). To proceed with the induction step, we use Laplace's formula

$$D_{iI}^{\mathcal{J}} = (-1)^{c+1} M_i^{t_c} D_I^{\mathcal{J}-t_c}$$
(11)

where iI is the multi-index $(i, i_1, ...,)$ and $\mathcal{J} - t_c$ is the multi-index \mathcal{J} whose cth entry t_c is removed. Now, for $\mathcal{I} = iI$ we have

$$\{D_{I}^{\mathcal{J}}, M_{a}^{b}\} = \{D_{iI}^{\mathcal{J}}, M_{a}^{b}\} = \{(-1)^{c+1} M_{i}^{t_{c}} D_{I}^{\mathcal{J}-t_{c}}, M_{a}^{b}\} [by(10)]$$
$$= (-1)^{c+1} D_{I}^{\mathcal{J}-t_{c}} (r_{ia}^{\varphi\psi} M_{\psi}^{t_{c}} M_{\psi}^{b} - r_{\varphi\psi}^{t_{c}b} M_{i}^{\varphi} M_{a}^{\psi})$$
(12.1)

$$+ (-1)^{c+1} M_i^{t_c} (r_{i_k a}^{\varphi \psi} D_{I+(\varphi \div i_k)}^{\mathcal{J}-t_c} M_{\psi}^b - \sum_{s \neq c} r_{\varphi \psi}^{t_s b} D_I^{\mathcal{J}-t_c+(\varphi \div t_s)} M_a^{\psi}).$$
(12.2)

On the other hand, formula (10) predicts that

$$\{D_{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathcal{J}}, M_{a}^{b}\} = (r_{ia}^{\varphi\psi} D_{\varphi I}^{\mathcal{J}} + r_{ika}^{\varphi\psi} D_{iI+(\varphi+i_{k})}^{\mathcal{J}} M_{\psi}^{b} - r_{\varphi\psi}^{t_{c}b} D_{iI}^{\mathcal{J}+(\varphi+i_{c})} M_{a}^{\psi}$$
$$= r_{ia}^{\varphi\psi} (-1)^{c+1} M_{\varphi}^{t_{c}} D_{I}^{\mathcal{J}-t_{c}} M_{\psi}^{b} + r_{ika}^{\varphi\psi} (-1)^{c+1} M_{i}^{t_{c}} D_{I+(\varphi+i_{k})}^{\mathcal{J}-t_{c}} M_{\psi}^{b}$$
(13.1)

$$-r_{\varphi\psi}^{t_{s}b} \bigg[\sum_{c \neq s} (-1)^{c+1} M_{i}^{t_{c}} D_{I}^{\mathcal{J}-t_{c}+(\varphi+t_{s})} + (-1)^{s+1} M_{i}^{\varphi} D_{I}^{\mathcal{J}-t_{s}} \bigg] M_{a}^{\psi}.$$
(13.2)

The 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 4th terms in the expression (12) match, in the expression (13), the 1st, 4th, 2nd, and 3rd term, respectively. This proves formula (10).

To prove formula (9), we use induction on |A| = |B|. The base of the induction is the case |A| = |B| = 1, and this is the just proved formula (10). To make an induction step, let $\mathcal{A} = aA$. Then

$$\{ D_{I}^{J}, D_{A}^{B} \} = \{ D_{I}^{J}, D_{aA}^{B} \} = \{ D_{I}^{J}, (-1)^{c+1} M_{a}^{\beta_{c}} D_{A}^{B-\beta_{c}} \} [by(9), (10)]$$

$$= (-1)^{c+1} M_{a}^{\beta_{c}} [r_{i_{k}a_{i}}^{\varphi\psi} D_{I+(\varphi \div i_{k})}^{J} D_{A+(\psi \div a_{i})}^{B-\beta_{c}} - \sum_{s \neq c} r_{\varphi\psi}^{j_{k}\beta_{c}} D_{I}^{J+(\varphi \div j_{k})} D_{A}^{B-\beta_{c}+(\psi \div \beta_{c})}] [14.1)$$

$$+ (-1)^{c+1} D_{A}^{B-\beta_{c}} [r_{i_{k}a}^{\varphi\psi} D_{I+(\varphi \div i_{k})}^{J} M_{\psi}^{\beta_{c}} - r_{\varphi\psi}^{i_{k}\beta_{c}} D_{I}^{J+(\varphi \div j_{k})} M_{a}^{\psi}].$$

$$(14.2)$$

On the other hand, formula (9) predicts that

$$\{D_{I}^{J}, D_{A}^{B}\} = \{D_{I}^{J}, D_{aA}^{B}\} = r_{i_{k}a}^{\psi\psi} D_{I+(\psi \div i_{k})}^{J} D_{\psi A}^{B} + r_{i_{k}a_{l}}^{\psi\psi} D_{I+(\psi \div a_{k})}^{J} D_{aA+(\psi \div a_{l})}^{B} - r_{\psi\psi}^{j_{k}\beta_{s}} D_{I}^{J+(\psi \div j_{k})} D_{aA}^{B+(\psi \div \beta_{s})} = D_{I+(\psi \div a_{k})}^{J} [r_{i_{k}a}^{\psi\psi} (-1)^{c+1} M_{\psi}^{\beta_{c}} D_{A}^{B-\beta_{c}} + r_{i_{k}a_{l}}^{\psi\psi} (-1)^{c+1} M_{\psi}^{\beta_{c}} D_{A+(\psi \div a_{l})}^{B-\beta_{c}}]$$
(15.1)
$$- r_{\psi\psi}^{j_{k}\beta_{s}} D_{I}^{J+(\psi \div j_{k})} \bigg[\sum_{c \ne s} (-1)^{c+1} M_{a}^{\beta_{c}} D_{A}^{B-\beta_{c}+(\psi \div \beta_{s})} + (-1)^{s+1} M_{a}^{\psi} D_{A}^{B-\beta_{s}} \bigg].$$
(15.2)

The matching scheme is: the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 4th term in the expression (14) versus the 2nd, 3rd, 1st and 4th term, respectively, in the expression (15). \Box

We now consider some applications of the determinantal criterion (4).

Let V be an N-dimensional vector space with a quadratic Poisson bracket

$$\{x_i, x_j\} = c_{ij}^{kl} x_k x_l \qquad c_{ij}^{kl} = -c_{ji}^{kl} = c_{ij}^{lk}$$
(16.1)

and suppose $\Lambda^1(V)$ also has a quadratic Poisson bracket

$$\{\xi_i, \xi_j\} = d_{ij}^{kl} \xi_k \xi_l \qquad d_{ij}^{kl} = d_{ji}^{kl} = -d_{ij}^{lk}$$
(16.2)

 c_{ij}^{kl} and d_{ij}^{kl} are constants, the variables x_i s are even, and the variables ξ_i s are odd. Then the actions $\operatorname{End}(V) \times V \to V$, $\operatorname{End}(V) \times \Lambda^1(V) \to \Lambda^1(V)$ are Poisson iff formula (1) holds with

$$r_{ij}^{kl} = c_{ij}^{kl} + d_{ij}^{kl}.$$
(16.3)

Conversely, given the multiplicative Poisson bracket (1) on End(V), it generates Poisson actions on V and $\Lambda^1(V)$ with

$$c_{ij}^{kl} = (r_{ij}^{kl} + r_{ij}^{lk})/2 \qquad d_{ij}^{kl} = (r_{ij}^{kl} + r_{ji}^{kl})/2.$$
(16.4)

In this language, the determinantal criterion (4) becomes

$$c_{\varphi i}^{\varphi j} + d_{\varphi i}^{\varphi j} = 0 \qquad \forall i, j.$$
(17)

Let us apply this formula to the Poisson version of the (factorizable) multiparameter deformation of the quantum GL(N) (Sudbery 1990). This is the case

$$\{x_i, x_j\} = \Phi_{ij} x_i x_j \qquad \Phi_{ij} = -\Phi_{ji} \tag{18.1}$$

$$\{\xi_i, \xi_j\} = \Psi_{ij}\xi_i\xi_j \qquad \Psi_{ij} = -\Psi_{ji} \tag{18.2}$$

$$c_{ij}^{kl} = \Phi_{ij} (\delta_{ij}^{kl} + \delta_{ij}^{lk})/2 \qquad d_{lj}^{kl} = \Psi_{ij} (\delta_{ij}^{kl} - \delta_{lj}^{lk})/2$$
(18.3)

$$2r_{ij}^{kl} = \delta_{ij}^{kl}(\Phi_{ij} + \Psi_{ij}) + \delta_{ij}^{lk}(\Phi_{ij} - \Psi_{ij})$$
(18.4)

of formulae (16); here Φ and Ψ are arbitrary skew-symmetric constant matrices. The determinantal criterion (4) in the form (17) then turns into

$$\sum_{i} (\Phi_{ij} + \Psi_{ij}) = 0 \qquad \forall j.$$
⁽¹⁹⁾

The Jacobi identities for the End(V) with the r-matrix (18.4) are satisfied iff there exists a permutation σ of the indices (1, 2, ..., N) such that

$$\Phi_{ij} - \Psi_{ij} = \operatorname{const}[\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma(i) - \sigma(j))].$$
⁽²⁰⁾

Let us call the space End(V) determinantal if the determinant is central. Set $V^{(l)} = End(V)$.

Theorem 1. $V^{(2)} = \text{End}(V^{(1)})$ is determinantal iff $V^{(1)}$ is.

Proof. The multiplicative Poisson bracket (1) on $V^{(1)} = \text{End}(V)$ induces the following Poisson bracket on $\Lambda^1(V^{(1)}) = \Lambda^1(\text{End}(V))$:

$$\{\Omega_i^{\alpha}, \Omega_j^{\beta}\} = r_{ij}^{\varphi\psi} \Omega_{\varphi}^{\alpha} \Omega_{\psi}^{\beta} - r_{\varphi\psi}^{\alpha\beta} \Omega_i^{\varphi} \Omega_j^{\psi}$$
(21)

where the Ω s are odd. Formula (21) is equivalent to the property of the pair of actions $\Lambda^{1}(\operatorname{End}(V)) \times V \to \Lambda^{1}(V)$ and $\Lambda^{1}(\operatorname{End}(V)) \times \Lambda^{1}(V) \to V$ being Poisson. Together, formulae (1) and (21) make into Poisson maps the natural composition

$$\Lambda^{u}(\operatorname{End}(V)) \times \Lambda^{v}(\operatorname{End}(V)) \to \Lambda^{u+v}(\operatorname{End}(V)) \qquad u, v \in \{0, 1\} = \mathbb{Z}_{2}.$$
(22)

Formulae (1) and (2) can be put into the form (16), with

$$\sum_{\substack{ij\\\alpha\beta}}^{r_{\varepsilon}} = (\delta_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma\varepsilon} r_{ij}^{kl} + \delta_{\beta\alpha}^{\gamma\varepsilon} r_{ij}^{lk} - \delta_{kl}^{ij} r_{\gamma\varepsilon}^{\alpha\beta} - \delta_{kl}^{jl} r_{\varepsilon\gamma}^{\alpha\beta})/2$$
(23.1)

$$D_{ij}^{\gamma\varepsilon}_{\alpha\beta} = (\delta_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma\varepsilon} r_{ij}^{kl} - \delta_{\beta\alpha}^{\gamma\varepsilon} r_{ij}^{lk} - \delta_{kl}^{ij} r_{\gamma\varepsilon}^{\alpha\beta} + \delta_{kl}^{ji} r_{\varepsilon\gamma}^{\alpha\beta})/2$$
(23.2)

$$\sum_{\substack{kl\\ij\\\alpha\beta}}^{\gamma\varepsilon} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma\varepsilon} r_{ij}^{kl} - \delta_{ij}^{kl} r_{\gamma\varepsilon}^{\alpha\beta}.$$
(23.3)

Hence,

$$U_{\beta}^{\stackrel{v}{\gamma}} = \sum_{i\alpha} R_{\alpha\beta}^{i\varepsilon} = \dim(V)(\delta_{\varepsilon}^{\beta}U_{j}^{l} - \delta_{j}^{l}U_{\varepsilon}^{\beta}).$$
(23.4)

In view of the determinantal criterion (4), formula (23.4) proves the claim. \Box

Remark 1. Formula (6) shows that the determinant det is normalizing. It is very likely that: (1) an arbitrary multiplicative Poisson bracket (1) on the group GL(N) can be deformed into a quantum group structure on GL(N); (2) this structure possesses a multiplicative quantum determinant; and (3) this quantum determinant is normalizing. (All this is true for N = 2, it is *not* true for the supergroup $GL(1 \mid 1)$ (Kupershmidt 1993). An infinitesimal counterpart of this is the Drinfel'd 1992) conjecture that every Lie bialgebra can be quantized; this is proved by Reshetikhin (1992).

Remark 2. In general, even when the *r*-matrix Poisson bracket (1) on End(V) satisfies the Jacobi identity, this is no longer true for the Poisson bracket on End(End(V)) defined by the *R*-matrix (23.3). In fact, no non-trivial example is known when the Jacobi identities are satisfied for both $V^{(1)}$ and $V^{(2)}$.

Remark 3. Formula (5) is of the Lax type. It implies that

$$\{\det(M), M^k\} = [U, M^k] \det(M)$$

so that

 $\{\det(M), \operatorname{tr}(M^k)\} = 0.$

This suggests that, more generally,

$$\{\operatorname{tr}(M^{l}), \operatorname{tr}(M^{k})\} = 0 \qquad \forall k, l \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(24)

This is, indeed, true, and can be deduced from the general formula (9) by the following arguments. Denote by ${}_{\lambda}D_{I}^{J}$ the determinant of the corresponding minor of the matrix ${}_{\lambda}M = M - \lambda 1$. Then the formula

$$\{\det(M - \lambda 1) \quad \det(M - \mu 1)\} = 0$$
 (25)

follows from the following generalization of the formula (9):

$$\{{}_{\lambda}D_{I}^{J},{}_{\mu}D_{A}^{B}\} = r_{i_{k}a_{l}}^{\varphi\psi}{}_{\lambda}D_{I+(\varphi+i_{k})\mu}^{J}D_{A+(\psi+a_{l})}^{B} - r_{\varphi\psi}^{j_{k}b_{l}}{}_{\lambda}D_{I}^{J+(\varphi+j_{k})}{}_{\mu}D_{A}^{B+(\psi+b_{l})} + \lambda(-1)^{k+l}{}_{\lambda}D_{I-i_{k}}^{J-j_{l}}$$

$$\times [r_{i_{k}a_{p}}^{j_{l}\psi}{}_{\mu}D_{A+(\psi+a_{p})}^{B} - r_{i_{k}\psi}^{j_{l}b_{q}}{}_{\mu}D_{A}^{B+(\psi+b_{q})}] - \mu(-1)^{p+q}{}_{\mu}D_{A-a_{p}}^{B-b_{q}}$$

$$\times [r_{a_{p}i_{k}}^{b_{q}\psi}{}_{\lambda}D_{I+(\psi+i_{k})}^{J} - r_{a_{p}\psi}^{b_{q}j}{}_{\lambda}D_{I}^{J+(\psi+j_{l})}].$$
(26)

When I = J = A = B = (1, 2, ..., N), each of the 3 summands in formula (26) vanishes, resulting in formula (25).

First, for a matrix $\mathcal{L} \in Mat(N)$, set

$$(r\mathcal{L})_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} = r_{ij}^{\mu\beta}\mathcal{L}^{\alpha}_{\mu} \qquad (\mathcal{L}r)_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} = \mathcal{L}^{\mu}_{i}r_{\mu j}^{\alpha\beta}.$$

Then induction on *s* proves the following formula:

$$\{(M^{s+1})_i^{\alpha}, M_j^{\beta}\} = \sum_{p=0}^{s} [(M^p r M^{s+1-p})_{ij}^{\alpha l} M_l^{\beta} - (M^{s+1-p} r M^p)_{il}^{\alpha \beta} M_j^{l}].$$

From this we get

$$\{\operatorname{tr}(M^{s+1}), M_j^\beta\} = (s+1)[\operatorname{tr}_{\operatorname{L}}(rM^{s+1}), M]_j^l$$

so that

$$\{\operatorname{tr}(M^{s+1}), M\} = (s+1)[\operatorname{tr}_{L}(rM^{s+1}), M].$$
(27)

This implies, as above, that

$${\rm tr}(M^{s+1}), M^{l} = (s+1)[{\rm tr}_{\rm L}(rM^{s+1}), M^{l}]$$

so that $\{tr(M^{s+1}), tr(M^{l})\} = 0$, as desired.

Second, for a pair of matrices \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{N} set

$$\begin{split} (\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{N})_{i\alpha}^{j\beta} &= \mathcal{L}_{i}^{j} \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^{\beta} \qquad \{\mathcal{L}_{\cdot}^{\otimes} \mathcal{N}\}_{i\alpha}^{j\beta} = \{\mathcal{L}_{i}^{j}, \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^{\beta}\}\\ [r(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L})r]_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} &= r_{ij}^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}_{\mu}^{\alpha} \mathcal{N}_{\nu}^{\beta} \qquad [(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{N})r]_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} = \mathcal{L}_{i}^{\mu} \mathcal{N}_{j}^{\nu} r_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta}. \end{split}$$

Then the defining Poisson bracket on GL(N) given by the formula (1) can be written as

$$\{M^{\otimes}_{r}M\} = [r, M \otimes M] \tag{28}$$

and the induction on s yields

$$\{M^{s+1} \underset{,}{\otimes} M\} = \left[\sum_{p=0}^{s} (M^{p} \otimes 1)r(M^{s-p} \otimes 1), M \otimes M\right].$$

From this formula the identity (27) results again. More generally still, induction on b shows that

$$\{M^{a+1} \underset{,}{\otimes} M^{b+1}\} = \left[\sum_{p=0}^{a} \sum_{q=0}^{b} (M^{p} \otimes M^{q}) r(M^{a-p} \otimes M^{b-q}), M \otimes M\right]$$
(29)

and from this identity formula (24) results upon taking the trace of each of the 2 arguments of the tensor product.

Remark 4. Formula (24) is probably known. For the case when the *r*-matrix $r_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$ corresponds to the quasiclassical limit of the quantum group $GL_q(n)$, this formula is proved by Ikeda (1991).

Remark 5. For N > 2, a power of a quantum $N \times N$ matrix is not, in general, a quantum matrix any more. In addition, the notion of trace does not survive quantization. Thus, formula (24) cannot have a quantum analogue. On the other hand, the notion of determinant survives quantization, so one should expect quantum analogues of the determinantal formulae (9), (25), (26) to exist.

Remark 6. Formulae (9) and (24) are logically independent. This can be seen from the following argument. Taking the differential at 1 of formula (1), we get a Lie algebra structure on $gl(n)^*$, hence a Poisson structure on $C^{\infty}(gl(n))$ of the form

$$\{N_i^{\alpha}, N_j^{\beta}\} = r_{ij}^{\varphi\beta} N_{\varphi}^{\alpha} + r_{ij}^{\alpha\varphi} N_{\varphi}^{\beta} - r_{\varphi j}^{\alpha\beta} N_i^{\varphi} - r_{i\varphi}^{\alpha\beta} N_j^{\varphi}$$
(30.1)

or, in tensorial notation,

$$\{N^{\otimes}_{,N}\} = [r, 1 \otimes N + N \otimes 1]. \tag{30.2}$$

There exists no analogue of the determinantal formula (9) in this framework. However, formula (24) holds true, as follows from taking the traces of the following general formula

$$\{N^{p+1} \stackrel{\otimes}{,} N^{q+1}\} = \sum_{a+b=p} [(N^{a} \otimes 1)r(N^{b} \otimes N^{q+1}) - (N^{b} \otimes N^{q+1})r(N^{a} \otimes 1)] + \sum_{c+d=q} [(1 \otimes N^{c})r(N^{p+1} \otimes N^{d}) - (N^{p+1} \otimes N^{d})r(1 \otimes N^{c})].$$
(31)

We see that

$$\{\operatorname{Tr}(N)^{\otimes}_{,N}\} = [U, N] \tag{32}$$

so that det(M) is central iff Tr(N) is.

This work was partially supported by the National Science Foundation.

References

Drinfel'd V G 1992 Lect. Notes Math. 1510 1 Ikeda K 1991 Lett. Math. Phys. 23 121 Kupershmidt B A 1993 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 26 L251 Kupershmidt B A 1994 Quantum Subgroups of the Quantum Group GL(2) preprint Reshetikhin N 1992 Int. Math. Res. Notes 7 143 Sudbery A 1990 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 23 L697

•